

THURSTON PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES of the MEETING held on Wednesday 7 October 2020 at 7.00pm by VIDEOCONFERENCE of Thurston Parish Council.

Present (by video): Cllrs. Dashper (Chair), Cllrs. Haley, Morris, Rainbow, Thurlbourn, Towers, Turner and West. Also in attendance (by video): Mrs V Waples, Parish Clerk; District Cllrs. Richardson and Turner and four members of the public (two for Agenda Item 11h only).

1. **OPENING** – the Chairman opened the meeting advising all that the Video Protocol adopted by the Parish Council, would be enacted for this meeting. *A copy of the Protocol is available from the Clerk or can be downloaded from the website:*
<https://thurstonparishcouncil.uk/parish-council/policies-procedures-and-strategy/>
2. **APOLOGIES** –
 - a) There were no applicable apologies for absence. *Post meeting note – Cllr. Hay offered apologies for non-attendance due to work commitments.*
3. **DECLARATIONS OF PECUNIARY AND NON-PECUNIARY INTEREST FROM COUNCILLORS INCLUDING GIFTS OF HOSPITALITY EXCEEDING £25 –**
 - a) To receive declarations of pecuniary, local non-pecuniary interest(s) and personal interests in items on the agenda and their nature including gifts of hospitality exceeding £25 – Cllr Morris declared a pecuniary interest under Agenda Item 11a) being the beneficiary of a cheque for expenses and as Treasure of Thurston Relief in Need Charity - there were no further declarations declared for the agenda under discussion.
 - b) To receive declarations of lobbying for planning matters on the agenda – there were none declared.
 - c) To receive requests for dispensations – none had been received prior to the meeting.
4. **TO NOTE THE MINUTES OF THE FOLLOWING MEETINGS: (all as previously circulated) and to agree that delegated authority be given to the Chair to sign the minutes outside of the meeting**
 - a) To approve the minutes of the following meetings:
 - Video Conferencing Meeting of 2nd September 2020 – all agreed that the minutes as presented were a true and accurate record of the meeting that took place, aif.
 - Video Conference Meeting of 23rd September 2020 – all agreed that the minutes as presented were a true and accurate record of the meeting that took place, aif
 - b) To note the minutes of the planning meetings held by the Planning Committee:
 - Video Conference Meeting of 16th September 2020 – Council noted the contents of the minutes from the meeting that took place.
 - Video Conference Meeting of 30th September - Council noted the contents of the minutes from the meeting that took place.
5. **REPORTS FOR INFORMATION** – the following reports were submitted:
 - Report from County Councillor Penny Otton – the report was taken as read and the following points were drawn to the meeting’s attention:
 - Motion on the closure of some Children’s Centre was passed by the County Council – not clear at the impact on the Library in Thurston.
 - Sizewell C – Council was unanimous in its recommendation of refusal of this proposal – in particular the impact on the A12 and A14 re HGVs, site design and environment.
 - Boundary Review – interested to know the PC’s views – her own were that SCC should keep the numbers at 75 given the increase in growth throughout Suffolk.
 - Planning Cttee consideration of the application for the MUGA and car park – disappointed at the responses submitted in terms of traffic assessments

So approved at the meeting of 04.11.2020

- Locality – she has supported Thurst Café from her budget
- Meeting re Highways England in relation to the closure of the Beyton junction – Comms agreed that they will be contacting Clerk with full details.
- Report from District Cllr. Turner was taken as read and the following points were brought to the meeting's attention:
 - Still waiting to hear from David Pizzey re Oak Tree that was damaged – The Clerk agreed to forward an email to Cllr. Turner regarding updates on this matter.
 - Mark Barnard re skylark mitigation being chased for a solution as Hadleigh was deemed to be too far away.
- Report from District Councillor Harry Richardson – the report was taken as read and the following points were drawn to the meeting's attention:
 - MSDC response to the Planning White Paper – expressing objections to key elements – impact for joint local plan going forward -if recs go forward MSDC increase in housing; allocation of land.

6. PUBLIC FORUM - due to government advice relating to public meetings, it was confirmed that the Meeting ID and Password for this meeting had been made available via the website and the parish noticeboards for those who wished to join the meeting.

- to receive questions and matters of concern from members of the public in attendance on the agenda for the evening –
 - Why has new youth shelter not been built yet?
 - Why has the skatepark not yet been moved forward?
- to receive comments relating to Thurston in general – there were none raised.
- to receive a presentation from representatives of St Peter's PCC on funding for the Church Newsletter – a presentation was given by representatives from the PCC in support of this item.
- to receive a request to support and information on the Community Broadband Upgrade – Gigabit Voucher Scheme and to ascertain how this may be taken forward – the paper as submitted was received by all present and it was noted that the Clerk had passed information on regarding the Better Broadband for Suffolk which currently offers speeds of 34mbps. It was noted that the government supports the rollout of programmes offering 10mbps. The Chair agreed to speak to SCC's Programme Director for Better Broadband to discuss what may be rolled out in terms of Thurston.

7. YOUTH MATTERS –

- a) To receive an update on the formation of a Youth Council – reference was made to the robust debate that had taken place the previous meeting regarding the Youth Shelter. It was confirmed that the Clerk had been tasked Clerk with obtaining further information and that once that information was forthcoming, the youth shelter would be returned to Council for further discussion. The debate on the night was of the right tone and of the right quality but there was a concern over the email traffic that had circulated around the discussion after the meeting. All were reminded and asked to take note that decisions taken by the Council needed to be within the public domain and discussed as a group within that forum and not after the decision.

Cllr Turner provided the meeting with an update on the formation of a Youth Council and confirmed that they had met as a Youth Council and had eight members. The initial meeting had concentrated on what the council would look like; what contributions it can make beyond the youth shelter; ways to contribute to climate awareness – some were very willing to make practical contributions; to join an intended litter pick; carry out card drops etc and very keen to get involved in the broader community. The issue regarding how to communicate was one that needed to be addressed particularly as a safeguarding issue and it was agreed that a specific email address would be set up through which communications can be made. He also passed on the group's frustration at

So approved at the meeting of 04.11.2020

having to wait 15 months for the replacement youth shelter and all were reminded that the youth council have chosen a shelter which was a nine (9) seater open sided; that as agreed he had met with TCC to seek their opinion and that he had met with landowner to discuss positioning who was supportive. The young people now felt that they needed somewhere to meet and being aware and having discussed the problems with the previous shelter were happy to be accountable and set ground rules for the use thereof. Cllr. Turner stated that the Youth Council will help the Parish Council and others to build resilient communities. When questioned he confirmed that the age range was from 11-18 with the majority in the age range of 16-18. It was aimed that the frequency of meetings would be once a month. The Clerk outlined her discussion with Community Action Suffolk, SALC and the Association of Play Industries on the installation of a youth facility such as a shelter and it was agreed that the Risk Assessment undertaken by the Clerk along with the relevant papers by the Association of Play Industries would be submitted as a supporting report along with the proposal to install a Youth Shelter at the Committees Meeting scheduled for 21st October 2020.

Skatepark – to answer the question raised in the public forum as to why this matter had not been progressed, the Chair explained that as the Parish Council did not own land that could be used for this project, it was reliant on conversations that were ongoing with regards to obtaining control / ownership of land within the Parish. The Chair agreed to attend one of the youth council meetings to provide an update, but it was stated that the proposal was not for lack of trying more for lack of space. The Chair confirmed that there had been no private meetings to discuss the skatepark and/or the project associated with providing a wheeled facility and that the meetings held to date had been to discuss land negotiations. Once land was identified then all involved in the project would be included in conversations to discuss how to take this project forward.

8. POLICE MATTERS –

a) To receive a report from PCSO Smith on crimes relating to Thurston – the report on policing activities for September had previously been circulated to all. The meeting was made aware that whilst the report did not show any evidence, recent information coming to light had suggestion that there was a significant rise in ASB on the Recreation Ground and around the Pavilion and the Thurston Under Fives facility.

The meeting was also made aware that the Chair, Vice-Chair and Clerk would be meeting the following week with Insp. Neil McKay who will be the new Locality Inspector for Mid Suffolk to discuss the funded PCSO role and the current contract which expires at the end of March 2021. Notes on the meeting would be circulated to all prior to a formal proposal coming back to the Council on the new contract.

b) Matters for referrals to PCSO Smith – there were none raised.

9. STATUTORY BUSINESS –

a) To receive nominations for the previously held casual vacancies (2 No.) – the meeting were informed that to date there was only one nominee for the vacancies. Having reviewed the information submitted, the meeting was in agreement that Sarah Cornell be co-opted onto the PC, aif. The Clerk confirmed that she would now meet with Mrs Cornell to arrange for the signing of the Declaration of Acceptance of Office in person.

b) To confirm nominations to the Climate Awareness/Environmental Committee – membership was agreed as being Cllrs. Haley, Hay, Morris, Turner and Thurlbourn, aif.

c) To consider and comment on the draft recommendations on the new electoral arrangements for Suffolk County Council - <https://consultation.lgbce.org.uk/node/18495> - it was noted that this was the second time – that there was a proposal to reduce the numbers of County Councillors and that the trend seemed to be to review all of the existing boundaries. The meeting felt that there was nothing intrinsically wrong with the boundary being proposed for Thedwastre South and that it would have little impact for Thurston. Whilst it was noted that this will be a larger patch with more residents to service, the PC had no negative response to submit on this matter.

So approved at the meeting of 04.11.2020

- d) To consider and review the Parish Council's response on the Government's White Paper – Planning for the Future - affordable housing thresholds is an issue – change in trigger point is a concern. Definition needs to be clear as to First Choice Homes – DH to send a paper over to the Clerk.
- e) To note the temporary closure of part of Footpath 7, from 29/09/2020 until 18/10/2020 Footpath 7, Thurston from Meadow Lane to the farm track will be closed to all pedestrian traffic – it was noted that this closure was for safety reasons during landscaping works and that co-ordinated works may also take place during this period. The alternative route will be a parallel route 2 metres north of footpath 7 from Meadow Lane to the farm track.
- f) Staffing – the meeting was advised of the submission of the resignation of the Administrative Assistant in writing, which had been accepted and acknowledged by the Chairman on behalf of the Parish Council.

10. TO CONSIDER MATTERS RELATING TO NETWORK RAIL:

- a) To receive the notes of the meeting called by MSDC to consider the terms of reference for the feasibility study relating to the Thurston Station level Crossing bid by Network Rail submitted against the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Bid Round Five – Position Statement for Compliant Bid Project reference-20-07 - Thurston Station Level Crossing – notes of the meeting prior to the CIL bid had previously been submitted for all to reads. It was noted that funding from the MSDC CIL Infrastructure Pot for the Feasibility Study had now been agreed and that the scope had changed following meetings with the Transport Policy and Development Manager (Temporary Traffic Manager) since the meeting. It was stated that it was a disappointment that he had not been in attendance to answer the questions that had arisen. There was an expectation that there should be a draft report by the end of the year along with a meeting to finalise the scope imminently.

11. FINANCIAL MATTERS - to note that all associated papers can be found at:

<https://thurstonparishcouncil.uk/parish-council/agendas-and-minutes>

- a) To approve the accounts awaiting payment including those coming forth (Paper 1 – 07.10.20) – the items awaiting payment were verified and explanations given. The meeting approved those as submitted under Paper 1 for payment, aif. It was noted that Cllr Morris having declared a pecuniary interest did not participate in the vote that followed.
- b) To note the accounts paid since the last meeting (see Paper 2 – 07.10.20) - the meeting retrospectively approved those payments that had been made away from the meeting as detailed under Paper 2, aif.
- c) To note receipts allocated since the last meeting (see Paper 3 – 07.10.20) – Council noted the receipt of monies as identified on Page 755.
- d) To consider and receive the Bank Reconciliation for the period ending 31.08.2020 (Paper 4 – 07.10.20) – Council noted the overall bank balances of £232,834.04 effective 30th September 2020 assuming all written cheques prior to that date would be presented.
- e) To receive the Internal Control Review for the period ending 25th September 2020 as carried out by Cllr. Rainbow (see Paper 5 – 07.10.20) – Cllr. Rainbow presented the findings from the internal control review which was accepted by the meeting.
- f) To confirm that the RFO and Internal Controller have undertaken a review of the Council's Asset Register versus Insurance Schedule and that at renewal the insurance schedule adequately provides insurance to mitigate the risks associated with the Council's operations. To further note that at renewal the Council is in a three-year long-term agreement which expires on 30.09.2022. It was noted that the following had been added to the Asset Register and were included within the insurance totals: Stay and Play Equipment at New Green Centre and Gym Trail Equipment on the New Green Open Space area.
- g) To review the monies held under the CIL Reserve and consider an update to projects identified in the Parish Infrastructure and Investment Plan (Paper 6 – 07.10.20) and to confirm approval of the following spends against the Reserve:

So approved at the meeting of 04.11.2020

- Litter bins – all agreed to the purchase and installation of 3 No. bins at a maximum cost of £992.80, aif.
 - Dog bag dispensers – all agreed to the purchase of 3 No dog bag dispensers at a cost of £507.00, aif.
 - Benches on the Recreation Ground – all agreed to the funding to a maximum of £3016.45 for replacements benches on the Recreation Ground, aif.
 - The Clerk made all aware that she will need to source two new noticeboards in the imminent future as two have failed seals, run with water and are extremely heavy to operate. Anticipated costs were in the region of £1000-1500 per noticeboard.
- h) To consider further the request from St Peter's Church for financial assistance with the production of the Thurston Newsletter – all present confirmed that they had had sight of the papers dated 02.09.20 and 07.10.20 submitted by the Clerk on this matter and the response from the PCC dated 27.08.20 to the questions posed by the Clerk on 05.08.20. The representatives from the PCC spoke further on the request for funding for the Thurston Newsletter and covered the following points (summarised):
- The Newsletter has been produced by the church for many years.
 - Valued by the community.
 - Many would still like a hard copy.
 - Need to increase the number of copies printed for new residents coming into village.
 - At present just about covering the cost of producing the newsletter. As a church, finances have been severely affected by COVID-19.
 - Prepaid monies from advertisers which would need to be paid back if the newsletter folded.
 - Delivery of the newsletter, including to the new homes, could be managed until the end of 2021.
 - PCC was meeting three of the five points as requested by the PC:
 - Dedicated on-line bank account
 - Sight of the Newsletter's accounts – break-down given verbally for 2019. 2018 accounts on website.
 - No monies from advertising used to contributed to any other function of the PCC.
 - For each 200 copies produced cost will be in the region of £45-50 extra

Request from the PCC was for an annual grant for the survival of the Newsletter or the PC could pay for advertising space and be treated like other advertisers.

Summary of comments raised from the Parish Council:

- PC did not agree that it should have to pay to inform the community as to what is happening within its own parish
- Questioned as to whether the newsletter was a Village Newsletter or a Church Newsletter. If it is the former then space for the body that speaks for the residents is paramount.
- TCC; Primary School & PC are currently given free access – is this to change
- A magazine was an important tool for future communications; need to have reasonable open space in this means of communication – perhaps a Church/Parish magazine might not be the best forum
- PC or a Church magazine was the fundamental question – ideally it should be a joint project – a number of people widely read this and for it to be supported by the PC there needs to be joint responsibility for production.
- No necessity for it to be delivered to each and every house as the magazine should be available to download, via the parish website, with a number of copies held at selective venues around the village.

So approved at the meeting of 04.11.2020

- Accounts for 2018 show that the funds from the newsletter are part of the activities for generating funds for the Church and are not separated out from the body of the Church's accounts.
- Efforts should be concentrated on the sharing of control and information as opposed to money and how it is to be expended.
- Whilst those present were in agreement that all editorial decisions will be a joint decision, this appeared to be in contradiction to the comments raised in the PCC letter of 27.08.20 following the PCC meeting.

It was agreed by the Councillors present that the PCC needed to agree amongst itself as to where the control issue lies and provide answers to Questions 1 and 2 as originally posed by the Clerk. It was understood that the situation over a separate bank account for all matters relating to the newsletter could be achieved thereby ensuring that the monies retained from the newsletter were kept separate from general Church Funds. Agreement was forthcoming that once the PCC had fully discussed the issues relating to Question 1 and 2, it should then be encouraged to submit a fully costed proposal to the PC for further consideration. Council were once again reminded of the advice from NALC and SALC stated that the Local Government Act 1894, expressly prohibits councils from spending any money on maintaining or improving church property. In practice this includes the church building itself, the churchyard and the church hall. Although subsequent legislation appears to contradict the earlier 1894 Act, it does so only in relation to for example, s.214(6) of the Local Government Act 1972 which permits a parish council to contribute towards the expenses incurred by any person in providing or maintaining a cemetery and s.215 of the Local Government Act 1972 permits a parish council to maintain a closed churchyard.

- i) To note and retrospectively approve the expenditure, as authorised by the Clerk on Health and Safety Grounds, to the area around the basketball area on New Green which includes levelling and reseeded with anti-climb barriers erected at a maximum cost of £550.00 – all noted and approved the expenditure incurred, aif.
- j) To agree the quotation for remedial works to the Play Equipment located on New Green (Thedwastre Park), including repairs to the entrance gate, at a cost of £2,393.53 ex VAT, to be carried out by KOMPAN Ltd – all agreed that the costs should be accepted and the works should be carried out by Kompan appointed installers, aif.

12. Councillor Reports:

- a) To report village matters of concern to the Clerk:
 - Footpath along the top of the Recreation Ground – one side of it is growing over by ground cover; tree routes etc are impacting the tarmacked surface of the footpath. Cllr Morris agreed to look at the ownership
 - Church Road / Beyton Road junction – verge has been eroded and there are remnants of an old metal railing.
 - Barrells Road – lorries to the new development – is there a one-way system in place? The Clerk confirmed that as far as she was aware the application to discharge Condition 8 (HGV Movement) had not yet been signed off by MSDC Planning Department. It was confirmed that there was a significant amount of mud and hardcore on Stoney Lane and at the entrance to the development and the verges were being impacted.
 - Potholes – top of Station Hill close to footpath to Barleyfields.
 - Potholes – Mount Road from Bury St Edmunds

13. CLERK'S REPORT - to receive the Clerks report and in particular:

- a) to receive the reports of items actioned under delegated powers – Council advised the meeting that the day to day running of the council was continuing and that in particular the following had been flagged up to other agencies for action:

So approved at the meeting of 04.11.2020

- overgrown vegetation on the Sustrans Route 51
 - damaged / overturned footpath signs
 - damaged / overturned Sustrans 51 sign
 - Volunteering – there is now a dedicated webpage under the Heading “Information” and the Clerk and Website Editor have placed details of CAS who are the ‘go to’ organisation for VCSE organisations in Suffolk as well as details of those running campaigns and services in Suffolk.
 - Dates for all meetings including Standing Committees – these have now been circulated to all Councillors.
 - Infrastructure for Thurston - at the Committee Meeting on 21st October, provided there is time on the agenda, the Clerk will bring a paper to Council detailing the highway improvements that will be built out by the developers or from contributions through s106. It was noted that this did not include those discussed for Gladman and Bloor as the S106 agreements have not been produced.
- b) to receive items of correspondence for noting only –
- Post marking along Church Road – SCC Highways have reviewed the posts that have been placed along the verge on Church Road and will be writing to the homeowner to request their removal on highway safety grounds.
 - Thurston Community College Application – Transport Assessment -
- c) it was confirmed that all other relevant emails had been passed onto the Councillors where applicable.

14. TO CONFIRM THE DATE OF FUTURE MEETINGS:

- a) 14th October 2020 – Planning Committee Meeting – via Zoom – commencing at 7.00pm
- b) 21st October 2020 – Recreational Facilities Committee Meeting – via Zoom – commencing at 6.00pm
- c) 21st October 2020 – Committees Meeting – via Zoom – commencing at 7.30pm
- d) 4th November 2020 – full Council Meeting – via Zoom - commencing at 7.00pm

15. TO RESOLVE THAT UNDER THE PUBLIC BODIES (ADMISISON TO MEETINGS) ACT 1960, THE PUBLIC BE EXCLUDED FROM THE MEETING DUE TO THE CONFIDENTIAL NATURE OF THE BUSINESS TO BE DISCUSSED -

it was resolved that the public be excluded from the meeting to consider legal advice from council's solicitor as publicity of it is likely to prejudice the legal position of the council, aif.

- a) to receive and consider further information on the potential Judicial Review against Mid Suffolk District Council on the decisions made at the meeting of Mid Suffolk District Council's Planning Referrals Committee on 29th January 2020 for the application on land South West of Beyton Road, Thurston, Suffolk – Bloor Homes/Sir George Agnew and on land to the East of Ixworth Road, Thurston, Suffolk – Gladman Developments Ltd – the meeting noted the ongoing discussions between the legal representatives of both parties in terms of documentation requested and understanding of points of law.

The meeting was made aware that at the recent training webinars on Planning given by Birketts LLP it was highlighted that PCs should be highly involved in the drafting of the S106 for their area. As Thurston PC had not been involved at any stage it was agreed that it was important that the omission of not allowing the PC to see the draft that had been placed on the planning register should be added to the legal case being drawn up.

it was resolved that the public be excluded from the meeting as publicity of the discussion is likely to prejudice the negotiating position of the council

- b) to receive and discuss information coming forth on negotiations over a possible land transaction involving the parish council - it was confirmed that the Parish Council felt that both parcels of land were interchangeable given the PC's aspirations.

So approved at the meeting of 04.11.2020

Following the request for more details of the Council's aspirations it was agreed that outline plans for both parcels included but were not limited to:

Land 2 – Skatepark – access, high visibility, near to school, near to MUGA – lends itself to youth orientated community-based projects that would be purpose built.

Land 3 — piece that is landlocked could be amenity land, tree planting, community growing areas. Jamie Edwards, Planning Officer at MSDC has muted the idea of skate hot spots in the community which it was agreed might be of interest.

All agreed that the PC did not want the land to end up back in Persimmon's hands.

- 16. CLOSURE OF THE MEETING** – there being no other business the meeting was closed at 21.33.

So approved at the meeting of 04.11.2020

Appendix A - Glossary of Common Abbreviations used

Aif	All in favour
AGAR	Annual Governance and Accountability Return
APM	Annual Parish Meeting
ASB	Anti-social Behaviour
BACS	Bankers Automated Clearing Services
BUAB	Built Up Area Boundary
BMSDC	Babergh & Mid Suffolk District Councils
CC	Credit Card
CAS	Community Action Suffolk
CCG	Clinical Commissioning Group
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
Chq.	Cheque
Cllr.	Councillor
CMP	Construction Management Programme
Cttee.	Committee
DC	District Council
DCLG	Department of Communities and Local Government
DD	Direct Debit
FOI	Freedom of Information
FR	Financial Regulations
GPoC	General Power of Competence
HMRC	Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs
HRA	Habitats Regulations Assessment
JR	Judicial Review
LAIS (from SALC)	Local Association's Information Services
LGBCE	Local Government Boundary Commission for England
LPA	Local Planning Authority
MSDC	Mid Suffolk District Council
NHS	National Health Service
NDP	Neighbourhood Development Plan
NP	Neighbourhood Plan
NR	Network Rail
PC	Parish Council
PCSO	Police Community Support Officer
Pdf	Portable Document Format
PIISG	Parish Infrastructure Investment Steering Group
Rec.	Recreation
RFO	Responsible Financial Officer
SARS	Suffolk Accident Rescue Service
SEA	Strategic Environmental Assessment
SALC	Suffolk Association of Local Councils
SCC	Suffolk County Council
SID	Speed Indicator Device
SNT	SaferNeighbourhood Team
SO	Standing Order
SPS	Suffolk Preservation Society
TCC	Thurston Community College
TNPSG	Thurston Neighbourhood Planning Steering Group
TPO	Tree Preservation Order
TRO	Traffic Regulation Order
VAS	Vehicle Activated Sign
VCSE	Voluntary, community and social enterprise organisations

So approved at the meeting of 04.11.2020

Accounts submitted for payment – 07.10.2020

	Voucher	METHOD	NETT	VAT	TOTAL
SHO Cleaning & Maintenance – Office Cleaning	967	3665	48.00	0.00	48.00
Suffolk Cloud – hosting of mailboxes	968	3666	300.00	0.00	300.00
Business Services at CAS – Insurance	969	3667	949.93	0.00	949.93
Kompan Ltd – repairs to safety matting – Gym Trail	970	3668	645.00	129.00	774.00
SALC – Birketts Training Planning Modules 1, 2 and 3	971	3669	75.00	15.00	90.00
M&TJ's – Grounds Maintenance – Heath Road & New Green Play Area	972	3670	112.00	22.40	134.40
B Morris – COVID-19 Expenses	973	3671	9.00	0.00	9.00
Thurston Charities in Need – rent of Heath Road Play Area	974	3672	55.00	0.00	55.00
M&TJ's – approved Grounds Maintenance Works	975	3673	700.00	140.00	840.00

Accounts paid since 02.09.2020

	Voucher	METHOD	NETT	VAT	TOTAL
Staff Salaries – September 2020	949-953	3660-3664	5446.41	0.00	5446.41
New Green Trust – Office Suite Rent (September 20)	954	SO	381.28	0.00	381.28
Plusnet – Telephone & internet (July – Aug 20)	955	DD	36.05	7.21	43.26
Amazon Prime	956	CC	7.99	0.00	7.99
Amazon – Inks – Black	957	CC	34.91	5.82	34.94
Amazon – Inks – Cyan	958	CC	21.24	4.25	25.49
Amazon – Inks – credit expected for non delivery	959	CC	63.54	12.70	76.24
Amazon – Inks – Cyan	960	CC	24.22	4.85	29.07
Amazon – Cooling mat for laptop	961	CC	29.80	5.96	35.76
Rays Shoemakers – Keys for Office	962	CC	9.30	0.00	9.30
Amazon – Stationary	963	CC	66.64	13.34	79.98
Eurooffice – hand sanitiser and face masks	964	CC	49.74	3.35	53.09
Eurooffice – Covid-19 posters, visors	965	CC	143.87	22.84	166.71
Culford Waste – skip for Recreation Ground	966	CC	137.50	27.50	165.00
Post Office – stamps	967	CC	32.50	0.00	32.50

Receipts received since 02.092020

	METHOD	NETT	VAT	TOTAL	
Lloyds Bank – Interest	Interest	1.51	0.00	1.51	Year 20/21
MSDC – Precept 2 of 2	Bacs	52581.00	0.00	52581.00	Year 20/21

Inter account transfers carried out

Date of Transfer	Means	From account	To account	Amount	Reason for transfer
28.08.20	Telephone	1721	4092	15000.0	Top-up from savings to current account

So approved at the meeting of 04.11.2020