

High Level Assessment

Sustainability theme/ Objectives	Assessment criteria	Commentary on criteria	13. South of Thurston - south of Beyton Road
			11.79 ha
Availability	Is the site available/has it been put forward by the landowner or a developer?		Yes
1/Env To preserve and enhance the natural beauty of Thurston in terms of its geology, landform, soils, water systems and climate	Is the site at high risk from fluvial flooding?	Sites where at least 75% of the area is in flood zone 3 should be eliminated	No
	Is the site at high risk from surface water flooding?	Sites where at least 75% of the area is at high risk of surface water flooding should be eliminated	No
3/Env To protect the landscape setting of Thurston village through use of land with a low landscape impact and by focusing development on previously developed land	- Is the site in open countryside/will it encroach unacceptably on open countryside? - Will the site have a detrimental impact on the landscape?	Sites that clearly sit in open countryside and are likely to have a detrimental impact on the landscape should be eliminated	Site is well surrounded by mature tree cover on the east, west and south sides so any development would be well screened. Any development would not encroach significantly on the countryside.
6/Env To protect the identity and local distinctiveness of Thurston as a rural settlement and to enhance the village streetscape.	- What is the relationship of the site to the settlement?	Sites that are clearly separate from the settlement boundary will be eliminated	Site is adjacent to settlement boundary

9/Soc To improve safe movement around the parish and to key service centres outside the parish by a range of modes 10/Soc To ensure that the community has adequate access to the key services it needs, including health facilities, convenience shops, and schools	- Does a site, by virtue of its location and scale, have a severe impact on the existing highway network?	Sites that are likely to have a severe detrimental impact on the highway network should be eliminated	Whilst there is likely to be an impact, this is unlikely to be severe
	- Is the site within a desirable or acceptable walking distance of the main shops and services in the village?	Sites that are more than 50% further than the preferred maximum walking distance from shops and services in the village should be eliminated	Yes
Overall assessment			The location is not considered to have any fundamental issues relating to its sustainability so should be subjected to a more detailed assessment

Assessment

Should the Overall Assessment state that there is a fundamental issue preventing sustainable development, the site will not be submitted for detailed assessment and the site will not be considered further.

Notes

* This is based on the following guidance provided by the Institute of Highways and Transportation:

	Facilities, e.g shops, bus stop	Commuting / school	Other
--	---------------------------------	--------------------	-------

	bus stop.		
Desirable	200m	500m	400m
Acceptable	400m	1000m	800m
Preferred maximum	800m	2000m	1200m

Source: Guidelines for Providing for Journeys on Foot (IHT 2000)