

Development area

Positive comments

Negative with reasoning

Negative/Negative

Rear of 14 Meadow Lane Number 4	site okay for development as it has least impact on the Village	Not suitable as it is outside of the village	
	Good site, keeps development close to the village.	I think we are losing too much open space, woods and countryside to walk in. Also we must preserve prime agricultural land.	Pity the trees are already being cleared, and the environment needs to be considered
	Less impact and better access during development during building stage	No: back land site, not near village, not close to facilities, too small so other sites will be needed, landscape impact.	
	Yes for small developments, could be building plots		
	Yes, good size development		
	Good position		
	Yes, appropriate site close to village amenities and small		
	Yes, small development, hidden access could be a problem		
	Suitable for development for small plot of houses. It is to the rear of current housing and smaller pockets of land are preferred to large extensive areas of housing.		
	Yes: Close to existing buildings		
	Yes. Small developments		
	Yes with proper access provision		
	Yes, low lying area will not impact on skyline.		
	Yes, small development		
	Yes. Natural infill		

--	--	--	--

	Yes, but access could be an issue.		
		Possible, but Meadow Lane would require upgrading.	