Development area	Positive comments	Negaitive/Positive	Negative/Negative
Plum Pudding field. 10	Could be ok if adequate	Ok for development	
	footpath to the village and		
	station included	N .1	
		No, there are already 3 schools.	
	Could be suitable, easy access	No too far from village facilities and	Possible for development but not ideal
	to main road and not affecting	would make village sprawl.	
	remainder of village.	Nata Carata CYVIII and	NT
	Ok for development has least impact on village	No too far out of Village	No view
	Would probably work well for	Too far out of village	
	a retirement village.	100 far out of village	
	Good site for development.		
		No sprawling to far out of village. Loss	
		of footpath	
	Could be good for new	Suitable for development	
	secondary school.		
		Does not meet any of the criteria	
	V .1	preferred by the meeting	
	Yes, this is a good location with decent roads.	Less impact better access during	
	with decent roads.	building process	
		No make village sprawl This is too far away from the village.	
		Concerns about busy road. Safe	
		pedestrian & vehicular access a major	
		consideration.	
		Not suitable as the current settlement	
		boundary has virtually no relationship	
		with this site. Existing roads are not	
		suitable for construction traffic.	
		Not suitable for traffic reasons, road	
		unsuitable	

— г